

Beyond Bill History: Evaluating Commercial Sector Energy Impacts Through a Multiple Approach Strategy

William S. Hopkins, Puget Sound Power & Light Co.

Glen Weisbrod, HBRS, Inc.

Lori M. Megdal, Cambridge Systematic, Inc.

In 1993, Puget Sound Power & Light Company completed an extensive impact evaluation of its Commercial Energy Management program. This program offers customized rebates to its commercial customers for the retrofit installation of energy-efficient equipment.

The evaluation addressed energy savings attributable to the measures and the program, persistence of measures and savings over time, naturally occurring conservation, spillover, and rebound effect. The evaluation combined several different approaches, including statistically adjusted engineering billing analysis, binary choice modeling, customer site visits, and customer telephone surveys. The analysis focused on participant cohorts for the years 1987-1991.

This paper briefly discusses the results obtained from these analytical approaches, as well as the lessons learned from applying these approaches. Other utilities with similar programs will benefit from both the results obtained and the lessons learned for conducting similar research.

Introduction

Demand-side management programs and the evaluation of those programs have matured and evolved at an astonishing pace over the last 5-10 years. As the magnitude of DSM efforts have grown, program evaluations have scrambled to address increasingly sophisticated questions posed by decision makers. To what extent do energy conservation measures (ECMs) and their associated energy savings persist over time? How much load impact was caused by the program that would not have otherwise occurred? Why are the evaluation estimates of savings different from the program engineering estimates?

As a contribution to the growing body of research on these issues, this paper describes the experience of Puget Sound Power & Light Company (Puget Power) in conducting an evaluation of a commercial retrofit rebate program. Analysis findings and lessons learned about conducting such evaluations are presented.

In 1993, Puget Power completed a comprehensive impact and process evaluation of its Commercial Energy Management Services (CEMS) program. The focus of this paper is the impact evaluation. The CEMS program offers cash grants to all existing commercial customers for the retrofit

installation of a wide variety of ECMs for all major end uses. The grant is based on a customized energy audit of commercial facilities by a Puget Power field engineer. Puget Power has offered this program since 1980.

The evaluation of this program was developed through Puget Power's Technical Collaborative Group, which includes representatives of the various regulatory, regional power planning, environmental and other organizations that are typically parties in the Company's conservation proceedings. The evaluation results are intended to be applied in a forward-looking manner, not as a retroactive justification for any shareholder incentive payment. Primary emphasis was placed on the gross energy savings achieved over time by the program measures and "lessons learned" to improve program design and cost effectiveness. Net savings attributable to the program was a secondary objective.

Energy savings was analyzed through econometric analysis of customer billing records. Telephone and on-site surveys collected information on the retention of measures over time, levels of naturally occurring conservation (i.e., free-ridership), installation of additional ECMs not directly

Appendix

Table 1: Summary of the data used in the study. The table lists the variables and their units.

Variable	Unit
Age	Years
Gender	Male/Female
Education	Years
Income	USD
Health Status	Good/Poor
Employment Status	Employed/Unemployed
Marital Status	Married/Single
Number of Children	Count
Number of Siblings	Count
Number of Parents	Count
Number of Grandchildren	Count
Number of Grandparents	Count
Number of Great-Grandchildren	Count
Number of Great-Grandparents	Count
Number of Great-Great-Grandchildren	Count
Number of Great-Great-Grandparents	Count

Table 2: Summary of the data used in the study. The table lists the variables and their units.

Variable	Unit
Age	Years
Gender	Male/Female
Education	Years
Income	USD
Health Status	Good/Poor
Employment Status	Employed/Unemployed
Marital Status	Married/Single
Number of Children	Count
Number of Siblings	Count
Number of Parents	Count
Number of Grandchildren	Count
Number of Grandparents	Count
Number of Great-Grandchildren	Count
Number of Great-Grandparents	Count
Number of Great-Great-Grandchildren	Count
Number of Great-Great-Grandparents	Count

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
OFFICE OF THE DEAN OF STUDENTS
1100 EAST 58TH STREET, CHICAGO, IL 60637
TEL: 773-936-3333 FAX: 773-936-3334
WWW.CHICAGOEDUCATION.ORG

ADMISSIONS
APPLY BY: JANUARY 15, 2012
APPLY ONLINE: www.chicagoeducation.org
APPLY BY MAIL: 1100 EAST 58TH STREET, CHICAGO, IL 60637
APPLY BY FAX: 773-936-3334

FINANCIAL AID
CHICAGO EDUCATION FOUNDATION
1100 EAST 58TH STREET, CHICAGO, IL 60637
TEL: 773-936-3333 FAX: 773-936-3334
WWW.CHICAGOEDUCATION.ORG

STUDENT SERVICES
CHICAGO EDUCATION FOUNDATION
1100 EAST 58TH STREET, CHICAGO, IL 60637
TEL: 773-936-3333 FAX: 773-936-3334
WWW.CHICAGOEDUCATION.ORG

CONTACT US
CHICAGO EDUCATION FOUNDATION
1100 EAST 58TH STREET, CHICAGO, IL 60637
TEL: 773-936-3333 FAX: 773-936-3334
WWW.CHICAGOEDUCATION.ORG

Verfahren zur Bestimmung des Sauerstoffpotentials

Das Sauerstoffpotential p_{O_2} wird durch die Messung der Spannung E einer galvanischen Zelle bestimmt, die aus zwei Halbzellen besteht, die durch eine Sauerstoffionenchromatitmembran (SOFC-Membran) getrennt sind. Die Halbzellen sind mit Sauerstoff (O_2) und Kohlenstoffdioxid (CO_2) gesättigt. Die Membran lässt nur Sauerstoffionen (O^{2-}) durch, während Kohlenstoffdioxid (CO_2) zurückgehalten wird. Die Spannung E ist durch die Nernst-Gleichung gegeben:

$$E = \frac{RT}{4F} \ln \left(\frac{p_{O_2}^{(1)}}{p_{O_2}^{(2)}} \right)$$

Die Partialdrücke $p_{O_2}^{(1)}$ und $p_{O_2}^{(2)}$ sind durch die Partialdrücke von CO_2 und H_2O in den Halbzellen bestimmt. Die Messung der Spannung E ermöglicht die Bestimmung des Sauerstoffpotentials p_{O_2} in der Probe, die in Kontakt mit der Membran ist.

Parameter	Wert
$p_{O_2}^{(1)}$	1 bar
$p_{O_2}^{(2)}$	1 bar
$p_{CO_2}^{(1)}$	1 bar
$p_{CO_2}^{(2)}$	1 bar
$p_{H_2O}^{(1)}$	1 bar
$p_{H_2O}^{(2)}$	1 bar

TABLE 1	
Summary of the results of the analysis of variance	
Source of variation	Mean square
Between groups	1.23
Within groups	0.45
Total	1.68

The analysis of variance shows a significant difference between the groups (F = 2.73, p < 0.05). The mean square for between groups is 1.23, and for within groups is 0.45. The total mean square is 1.68.

TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF THE DATA FOR THE 1990-1991 FLOODING OF THE GREAT RIVER BASIN

Category	Area (km ²)	Population	Damage (Million \$)
Total	1,200,000	100,000,000	10,000
Urban	100,000	10,000,000	1,000
Rural	1,100,000	90,000,000	9,000
Suburban	100,000	10,000,000	1,000
Industrial	100,000	10,000,000	1,000
Commercial	100,000	10,000,000	1,000
Residential	1,000,000	80,000,000	8,000
Public Works	100,000	10,000,000	1,000
Transportation	100,000	10,000,000	1,000
Utilities	100,000	10,000,000	1,000
Manufacturing	100,000	10,000,000	1,000
Health Care	100,000	10,000,000	1,000
Education	100,000	10,000,000	1,000
Government	100,000	10,000,000	1,000
Other	100,000	10,000,000	1,000

Source: Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), 1991. The data were obtained from the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and the Federal Disaster Assistance Administration (FDAA). The data are presented in this table for illustrative purposes only and should not be used for any other purpose without the permission of FEMA.

1. The first part of the document is a title page. It contains the title of the document, the author's name, and the date of publication. The title is "The History of the United States" and the author is "John Adams". The date is "1776".

2. The second part of the document is a table of contents. It lists the chapters and sections of the document, along with the page numbers where they begin. The chapters are "The Declaration of Independence", "The Constitution", and "The Bill of Rights".

3. The third part of the document is the main body of text. It is divided into three chapters. The first chapter is "The Declaration of Independence". It discusses the reasons for the American Revolution and the signing of the Declaration of Independence on July 4, 1776. The second chapter is "The Constitution". It discusses the process of drafting the Constitution and the signing of the document on September 17, 1787. The third chapter is "The Bill of Rights". It discusses the process of drafting the Bill of Rights and the signing of the document on September 12, 1791.

4. The fourth part of the document is a list of footnotes. It contains references to other documents and sources used in the writing of the document.

5. The fifth part of the document is a list of references. It contains a list of books, articles, and other sources used in the writing of the document.

6. The sixth part of the document is a list of appendices. It contains additional information related to the document, such as the original text of the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution.

Introduction

The purpose of this document is to provide a comprehensive overview of the project's objectives, scope, and deliverables. This document is intended for the project team and stakeholders.

The project is a multi-phase initiative aimed at improving the efficiency of our internal processes. The primary goal is to reduce the time and cost associated with our current workflow.

The project will be managed using a structured approach, with regular communication and reporting to ensure transparency and accountability.

The project team consists of experienced professionals with a proven track record in project management and process optimization.

The project is expected to be completed within the specified timeline and budget. The final deliverables will include a detailed report on the project's progress and a set of recommendations for long-term improvement.

The project is a strategic investment in our organization's future, and we are committed to its successful completion.

The project team is confident that the project will meet all the required objectives and deliver high-quality results.

The project is a testament to our organization's commitment to continuous improvement and innovation.

The project is a key component of our overall business strategy and will have a significant impact on our long-term success.

The project is a challenge, but we are confident that we will overcome all obstacles and achieve our goals.

The project is a testament to our team's hard work and dedication.

The project is a key component of our overall business strategy and will have a significant impact on our long-term success.

The project is a challenge, but we are confident that we will overcome all obstacles and achieve our goals.

The project is a testament to our team's hard work and dedication.

